Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/786,992	UITTERLINDEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Sally A Sakelaris	1634
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>All</u>	<u>owance</u>
(1) <u>Sally A Sakelaris</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Barry F. McGurl</u>	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 July 2003	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 17, 24, and 25 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner contacted applicant's representative to indicate that claims 17, 18, 19, and 23 would be allowable with an examiner's amendment. Applicant agreed to an examiner's amendment whose details can be seen in the examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate r directly resulted in the allowance of the application.	ecord of the substance of the	interview, since the interview
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
	•	
·		
(F. 100F 0)	/A	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	'Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – it appropriate)